

THE SIGMA INVARIANTS OF THOMPSON'S GROUP F

ROBERT BIERI, ROSS GEOGHEGAN, DESSISLAVA H. KOCHLOUKOVA

ABSTRACT. Thompson's group F is the group of all increasing dyadic PL homeomorphisms of the closed unit interval. We compute $\Sigma^m(F)$ and $\Sigma^m(F; \mathbb{Z})$, the homotopical and homological Bieri-Neumann-Strebel-Renz invariants of F , and show that $\Sigma^m(F) = \Sigma^m(F; \mathbb{Z})$. As an application, we show that, for every m , F has subgroups of type F_{m-1} which are not of type FP_m (thus certainly not of type F_m).

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The group F . Let F denote the group of all increasing piecewise linear (PL) homeomorphisms¹

$$x : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

whose points of non-differentiability $\in [0, 1]$ are dyadic rational numbers, and whose derivatives are integer powers of 2. This is known as Thompson's Group F ; it first appeared in [22].

The group F has an infinite presentation

$$(1.1) \quad \langle x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots \mid x_i^{-1} x_n x_i = x_{n+1} \text{ for } 0 \leq i < n \rangle$$

Let $F(i)$ denote the subgroup $\langle x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots \rangle$. The presentation (1.1) displays F as an HNN extension with base group $F(1)$, associated subgroups $F(1)$ and $F(2)$, and stable letter x_0 ; see [17, Prop. 9.2.5] or [13] for a proof. Thus F is an ascending² HNN-extension whose base and associated subgroups are isomorphic to F .

The correspondence between the generators x_i in the presentation (1.1) and PL homeomorphisms is as in [15]. For example, the generator x_0 corresponds to the PL homeomorphism with slope $\frac{1}{2}$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, slope 1 on $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$, and slope 2 on $[\frac{3}{4}, 1]$.

The group F has type F_∞ i.e. there is a $K(F, 1)$ -complex with a finite number of cells in each dimension [13]. Therefore F is finitely presented and has type FP_∞ . Furthermore, F has infinite cohomological dimension [13], $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z}F)$ is trivial [14], F does not contain a free subgroup of rank 2 [10], and the commutator subgroup F' is simple [11], [15]. It is known that F has quadratic Dehn function [18]. The group of automorphisms of F was calculated in [9].

Date: February, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20J05; Secondary 55U10.

Key words and phrases. Thompson's group, homological and homotopical Sigma invariants.

The third author is partially supported by "bolsa de produtividade de pesquisa" from CNPq, Brazil.

¹Here, PL homeomorphisms are understood to act on $[0, 1]$ on the left as in [15] rather than on the right as in [13].

²See Subsection 2.1 for the definition.

1.2. The Sigma invariants of a group. By a (*real*) *character* on G we mean a homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the additive group of real numbers. For a finitely generated group G the *character sphere* $S(G)$ of G is the set of equivalence classes of non-zero characters modulo positive multiplication. This is best thought of as the “sphere at infinity” of the real vector space $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$. The dimension d of that vector space is the torsion-free rank of G/G' , and the sphere at infinity has dimension $d - 1$. We denote by $[\chi]$ the point of $S(G)$ corresponding to χ .

We recall the Bieri-Neumann-Strebel-Renz (or Sigma) invariants of a group G . Let R denote a commutative ring³ with $1 \neq 0$, and let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. When G is of type F_m (resp. $FP_m(R)$) the homotopical invariant $\Sigma^m(G)$ (resp. the homological invariant $\Sigma^m(G; R)$), is a subset of $S(G)$. In both cases we have $\Sigma^{m+1} \subseteq \Sigma^m$. We refer the reader to [7] for the precise definition, confining ourselves here to a brief recollection:

1.2.1. $m = 0$. All groups have type F_0 and type $FP_0(R)$. By definition $\Sigma^0(G) = \Sigma^0(G; R) = S(G)$. This will only be of interest when we consider subgroups of F in Section 3.

1.2.2. $m = 1$. Let X be a finite set of generators of G and let Γ^1 be the corresponding Cayley graph, with G acting freely on Γ^1 on the left. The vertices of Γ^1 are the elements of G and there is an edge joining the vertex g to the vertex gx or each $x \in X$.

For any non-zero character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and for any real number i define $\Gamma_{\chi \geq i}^1$ to be the subgraph of Γ spanned by the vertices

$$G_{\chi \geq i} = \{g \in G \mid \chi(g) \geq i\}.$$

By definition, $[\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)$ if and only if $\Gamma_{\chi \geq 0}^1$ is connected. For a detailed treatment of Σ^1 from a topological point of view, see [17, Sec. 16.3].

1.2.3. $m = 2$. Let $\langle X \mid T \rangle$ be a finite presentation of G . Choose a G -invariant orientation for each edge of Γ^1 and then form the corresponding Cayley complex Γ^2 by attaching 2-cells equivariantly to Γ^1 using attaching maps indicated by the relations in T . Define $\Gamma_{\chi \geq i}^2$ to be the subcomplex of Γ^2 consisting of $\Gamma_{\chi \geq i}^1$ together with all the 2-cells which are attached to it.

By definition, $[\chi] \in \Sigma^2(G)$ if and only if $[\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)$ and there is a nonpositive d such that the map

$$(1.2) \quad \pi_1(\Gamma_{\chi \geq 0}^2) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma_{\chi \geq d}^2),$$

induced by the inclusion of spaces $\Gamma_{\chi \geq 0}^2 \subseteq \Gamma_{\chi \geq d}^2$ is zero (and $\Gamma_{\chi \geq 0}^1$ is connected). See, for example, [28]. Note that Γ^2 is the 2-skeleton of the universal cover of a $K(G, 1)$ -complex which has finite 2-skeleton.

1.2.4. $m > 2$. The higher $\Sigma^m(G)$ are defined similarly, for groups of type F_m , using the m -skeleton, Γ^m , of the universal cover of a $K(G, 1)$ -complex having finite m -skeleton. See [7].

³Only the rings \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} will play a role in this paper.

1.2.5. *The homological case.* For a commutative ring R , the homological Sigma invariants $\Sigma^m(G; R)$ are defined similarly when the group G is of type $FP_m(R)$, using a free resolution of the trivial (left) RG -module R which is finitely generated in dimensions $\leq m$; see [7] for details. Among the basic facts to be used below, which hold for all rings R , are: $\Sigma^1(G) = \Sigma^1(G; R)$; and $\Sigma^m(G) \subseteq \Sigma^m(G; R)$ when both are defined (i.e. when G has type F_m .) If G is finitely presented then “type F_m ” and “type $FP_m(\mathbb{Z})$ ” coincide. In that case, $\Sigma^m(G; \mathbb{Z})$ can also be understood from the above topological definition of $\Sigma^m(G)$, replacing statements about homotopy groups by the analogous statements about reduced \mathbb{Z} -homology groups; more precisely, one requires

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{H}_{k-1}(\Gamma_{\chi \geq 0}^k) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(\Gamma_{\chi \geq d}^k),$$

to be trivial for all $k \leq m$.

Remark: The definition of Σ^1 given here agrees with the now-established conventions followed, for example, in [7] and in [2]. It differs by a sign from the Σ^1 -invariant defined in [6]. This arises from our convention that RG -modules are left modules, while in [6] they are right modules.

1.3. **Some facts about Sigma invariants.** It is convenient to write “ $[\chi] \in \Sigma^\infty$ ” as an abbreviation for “ $[\chi] \in \Sigma^m$ for all m ”.

Among the principal results of Σ -theory for a group G of type F_m (resp. type $FP_m(R)$) are: (1) $\Sigma^m(G)$ (resp. $\Sigma^m(G; R)$) is an open subset of the character sphere $S(G)$, and (2) $\Sigma^m(G)$ (resp. $\Sigma^m(G; R)$) classifies all normal subgroups N of G containing the commutator subgroup G' by their finiteness properties in the following sense:

Theorem 1.1. [7], [27], [28] *Let G be a group of type F_m (resp. type $FP_m(R)$) with a normal subgroup N such that G/N is abelian. Then N is of type F_m (resp. FP_m) if and only if for every non-zero character χ of G such that $\chi(N) = 0$ we have $[\chi] \in \Sigma^m(G)$ (resp. $[\chi] \in \Sigma^m(G; R)$).*

A non-zero character is *discrete* if its image in \mathbb{R} is an infinite cyclic subgroup. A special case of Theorem 1.1 (the only one we will use) is:

Corollary 1.2. *If the non-zero character χ is discrete then its kernel has type F_m (resp. type $FP_m(R)$) if and only if $[\chi]$ and $[-\chi]$ lie in $\Sigma^m(G)$ (resp. $\Sigma^m(G; R)$).*

The invariants $\Sigma^m(G)$ and $\Sigma^m(G; R)$ have been calculated for only a few families of groups G , even fewer when $m > 1$. For metabelian groups G of type F_m there is the still-open Σ^m -Conjecture: $\Sigma^m(G)^c = \Sigma^m(G; \mathbb{Z})^c = \text{conv}_{\leq m} \Sigma^1(G)^c$, where⁴ $\text{conv}_{\leq m}$ denotes the union of the (spherical) convex hulls of all $\leq m$ -tuples; this is known for $m = 2$ [20] but only for larger m under strong restrictions on G [21], [24]. A complete description of $\Sigma^m(G)$ and $\Sigma^m(G; \mathbb{Z})$ for any right angled Artin group G is given in [23]. Recently the homotopical invariant $\Sigma^m(G)$ has been generalized to an invariant of group actions on proper CAT(0) metric spaces [2]; the corresponding invariants for the natural action of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ on its symmetric space have been calculated: for $n = 2$ (action by Möbius transformations on the hyperbolic plane) in [3], and for $n > 2$ in [26]. A similar generalization of the homological case, $\Sigma^m(G; R)$, to the CAT(0) setting will appear in [4].

⁴It is customary to use the notation A^c for the complement of the set A in a character sphere; e.g. $\Sigma^m(G)^c$ or $\Sigma^m(G; R)^c$.

1.4. Sigma invariants of F . In this paper we calculate the Sigma invariants $\Sigma^m(F)$ and $\Sigma^m(F; R)$ of the group F . For $x \in F$ and $i = 0$ or 1 let $\chi_i(x) := \log_2 x'(i)$, i.e. the (right) derivative of the map x at 0 is $2^{\chi_0(x)}$ and the (left) derivative of x at 1 is $2^{\chi_1(x)}$. In terms of the presentation (1.1) $\chi_0(x_0) = -1$ and $\chi_0(x_i) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, while $\chi_1(x_i) = 1$ for all $i \geq 0$. These two characters are linearly independent. Thus $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$ are not antipodal points of the circle $S(F)$. From (1.1) we see that the real vector space $\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})$ has dimension 2, so these two characters span $\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})$. It follows that the convex sum of $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$ is a well-defined interval in the circle $S(F)$; its members are the points $\{[a\chi_0 + b\chi_1] \mid a, b > 0\}$. We call it the “shorter interval”. We call χ_0 and χ_1 the “special” characters.

There is a useful automorphism ν of F which is most easily expressed when F is regarded as a group of PL homeomorphisms as above: it is conjugation by the homeomorphism $t \mapsto (1 - t)$; if one draws the graph of the PL homeomorphism $x \in F$ in the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ then the graph of $\nu(x)$ is obtained by rotating that square through the angle π . This ν induces an automorphism of $\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})$ and consequently an automorphism of $S(F)$ which permutes the elements of $\Sigma^m(F)$ (resp. $\Sigma^m(F; R)$). In particular, it swaps the points $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$. We refer to this as “ ν -symmetry” of the Sigma invariants.

The Theorems of this paper can now be stated:

Theorem A. $\Sigma^1(F)$ consists of all points of $S(F)$ except $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$. The points of $S(F)$ lying in the open convex hull of $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$, i.e. in the shorter interval, are in $\Sigma^1(F)$ but are not in $\Sigma^2(F)$. The other (longer) open interval between $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$ is the set $\Sigma^\infty(F)$. The sets $\Sigma^m(F; R)$ and $\Sigma^m(F)$ coincide for all m and any ring R .

One part of this is not new: $\Sigma^1(F)$ was computed in [6].

Theorem B. For every $m \geq 1$, F contains subgroups of type F_{m-1} which are not of type $FP_m(\mathbb{Z})$ (thus certainly not of type F_m).

Theorem A is proved in Section 2, and Theorem B is proved (using [5]) in Section 3.

Acknowledgment We thank Dan Farley who asked about the possibility of embedding powers of F in F to get non-normal subgroups of F with more interesting finiteness properties than can be found among the kernels of characters on F itself. His question led to the writing of the paper [5] and thus to our Theorem B.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM A

2.1. Σ^0 and Σ^1 . By an *ascending HNN extension* we mean a group presented by $\langle H, t \mid t^{-1}ht = \phi(h) \text{ for } h \in H \rangle$ where $\phi : H \rightarrow H$ is a monomorphism. Such a group is denoted by $H *_{\phi, t}$.

We begin by citing:

Theorem 2.1. Let G decompose as an ascending HNN extension $H *_{\phi, t}$. Let $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the character given by $\chi(H) = 0$ and $\chi(t) = 1$.

- (1) If H is of type F_m (resp. $FP_m(R)$) then $[\chi] \in \Sigma^m(G)$ (resp. $[\chi] \in \Sigma^m(G; R)$).

(2) If H is finitely generated and ϕ is not onto H then $[-\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c$.

Proof. The homological case of (1) for all m is [24, Prop. 4.2] and the homotopical case for $m = 2$ is a special case of [25, Thm. 4.3]. The homotopical case of (1) for all m then follows.

(2) is elementary: we recall the argument. Let N be the kernel of χ . By (1) and Corollary 1.2, (2) is equivalent to claiming that the group N is not finitely generated. The hypothesis that ϕ is not onto implies $t^{-1}Ht$ is a proper subgroup of H . Thus $N = \cup_{n \geq 1} t^n H t^{-n}$ is a proper ascending union, so it cannot be finitely generated. \square

Applying Theorem 2.1 together with “ ν -symmetry” to the group F , i.e. $G = F$, $t = x_0$, $H = F(1)$, and $\chi = -\chi_0$, we get part of Theorem A:

Corollary 2.2. $\{[-\chi_0], [-\chi_1]\} \subseteq \Sigma^\infty(F)$ and $\{[\chi_0], [\chi_1]\} \subseteq \Sigma^1(F)^c$.

Theorem 8.1 of [6] is the assertion that the complement of the two-point set $\{[\chi_0], [\chi_1]\}$ is precisely⁵ $\Sigma^1(F)$.

2.2. The “longer” interval. The following is proved by combining two theorems of H. Meinert, namely [24, Prop. 4.1] and [25, Thm. B]:

Theorem 2.3. *Let G decompose as an ascending HNN extension $H *_{\phi, t}$. Let $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a character such that $\chi|_H \neq 0$. If H is of type F_∞ and if $[\chi|_H] \in \Sigma^\infty(H)$ then $[\chi] \in \Sigma^\infty(G)$.*

We use this to show that whenever $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\chi(x_1) < 0$ we always have $[\chi] \in \Sigma^\infty(F)$. Recall that F is an HNN extension with base group $F(1) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$, associated subgroups $F(1)$ and $F(2)$ and with stable letter x_0 , where $F(i) = \langle x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots \rangle$. As $\{x_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ are conjugate in F we see that $\chi(x_1) = \chi(x_i) < 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{\chi}$ be the restriction of χ to $F(1)$. If we identify $F(1)$ with F via the isomorphism that sends x_i to x_{i-1} for $i \geq 1$, then $\tilde{\chi}$ gets identified with $-\chi_1$ and, by Corollary 2.2, $[-\chi_1] \in \Sigma^\infty(F)$. Thus we have:

Corollary 2.4.

$$(2.1) \quad \{[\chi] \in S(F) \mid \chi(x_1) < 0\} \subseteq \Sigma^\infty(F).$$

This shows that the open interval in the circle $S(F)$ from $[\chi_0]$ to $[-\chi_0]$ which contains $[-\chi_1]$ lies in $\Sigma^\infty(F)$. By ν -symmetry its image under ν has the same property, and this enlarges the interval in question to cover the whole “long” open interval between $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$. In summary:

Proposition 2.5. *All of $S(F)$ except possibly the closed convex sum of the points $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$ lies in $\Sigma^\infty(F)$.*

⁵But note the change of conventions explained in the Remark at the end of Subsection 1.2.

2.3. The “shorter” interval. For the homotopical version of Theorem A we could simply apply the following:

Theorem 2.6. [19] *Let G be a finitely presented group which has no free non-abelian subgroup. Then⁶ $\text{conv}_{\leq 2}\Sigma^1(G)^c \subseteq \Sigma^2(G)^c$.*

However, the homological version of Theorem 2.6 is only known under restrictive conditions, so we proceed in a manner which handles the homotopical and homological versions at the same time. We begin by citing:

Theorem 2.7. *Let G have no non-abelian free subgroups and have type $FP_2(R)$. Let $\tilde{\chi} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-zero discrete character. Then G decomposes as an ascending HNN extension $H *_{\phi, t}$ where H is a finitely generated subgroup of $\ker(\tilde{\chi})$, and $\tilde{\chi}(t)$ generates the image of $\tilde{\chi}$.*

This is an immediate consequence of [8, Thm. A]. That theorem yields an HNN extension, and the hypothesis about free subgroups ensures it is an ascending HNN extension⁷.

We apply Theorem 2.7 to understand $\Sigma^2(F; R)$. Consider the non-zero character $a\chi_0 + b\chi_1$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $G := \ker(a\chi_0 + b\chi_1)$. Since F/F' is a free abelian group of rank 2, it is not hard to see that $G = \langle F', t \rangle$ for some $t \in F$. For the same reason, there is a non-zero discrete character $\tilde{\chi} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose kernel is F' such that $\tilde{\chi}(t)$ generates $\text{im}(\tilde{\chi})$. We assume that G has type $FP_2(R)$ and we consider what this implies. By Theorem 2.7 the existence of $\tilde{\chi}$ implies that G decomposes as $H *_{\phi, t}$ where H is a finitely generated subgroup of F' . The group F' consists of all PL homeomorphisms whose left and right slopes are 1. Since H is finitely generated, there must exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that all elements of H are supported in the interval $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$. We may assume ϵ is so small that the PL homeomorphism t is linear on $[0, \epsilon]$ and on $[1 - \epsilon, 1]$.

The character $\tilde{\chi}$ expresses G as a semidirect product of F' and \mathbb{Z} . Thus we have $F' = \cup_{n \geq 1} t^n H t^{-n}$. So for each $x \in F'$ there is some $n > 0$ such that $t^{-n} x t^n \in H$, and hence the support of $t^{-n} x t^n$ lies in $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$.

This implies that the support of x lies in $[t^n(\epsilon), t^n(1 - \epsilon)]$, and hence these end points have subsequences converging to 0 and 1 respectively as x varies in F' . If t has slope ≥ 1 on $[0, \epsilon]$ then $t(\epsilon) \geq \epsilon$ so $t^n(\epsilon) \geq \epsilon$ for all $n > 0$. Therefore t must have slope < 1 near 0. Similarly t must have slope < 1 near 1. Since $a\chi_0(t) + b\chi_1(t) = 0$ it follows that (still assuming G has type $FP_2(R)$) $ab < 0$. Expressing the contrapositive, we have

Proposition 2.8. *If $ab > 0$ then $\ker(a\chi_0 + b\chi_1)$ does not have type $FP_2(R)$. \square*

Now assume a and b are positive and rational. Write $\chi = a\chi_0 + b\chi_1$; thus χ is discrete. By Corollary 1.2, $\ker(\chi)$ has type $FP_2(R)$ if and only if both $[\chi]$ and $[-\chi]$ lie in $\Sigma^2(F; R)$. But by Proposition 2.5 $[-\chi] \in \Sigma^2(F; R)$. So $[\chi]$ cannot lie in $\Sigma^2(F; R)$.

Proposition 2.9. *No point in the open convex sum of $[\chi_0]$ and $[\chi_1]$ (i.e. the shorter open interval) lies in $\Sigma^2(F; R)$.*

⁶See Sec. 1.3 for the definition of $\text{conv}_{\leq 2}$.

⁷The equivalence of “almost finitely presented” with respect to R , the term actually used in [8], and $FP_2(R)$ is well-known: see, for example, Exercise 3 of [12, VIII 5].

Proof. We have just shown that a dense subset of the open convex sum lies in $\Sigma^2(F; R)^c$, and since $\Sigma^2(F; R)$ is open in $S(F)$ this is enough. \square

The proof of Theorem A is completed by recalling that for any ring R

- (1) $\Sigma^1(F; R) = \Sigma^1(F)$, and
- (2) $\Sigma^m(F) \subseteq \Sigma^m(F; R)$.

3. SUBGROUPS OF F WITH DIFFERENT FINITENESS PROPERTIES

As before, we denote the complement of any subset A of a sphere by A^c . The Direct Product Formula for homological Sigma invariants (which is not always true) reads as follows:

$$\Sigma^n(G \times H; R)^c = \bigcup_{p=0}^n \Sigma^p(G; R)^c * \Sigma^{n-p}(H; R)^c$$

Here, $*$ refers to “join” of subsets of the spheres $S(G)$ and $S(H)$ which are considered to be subspheres of the sphere $S(G \times H)$. In particular, when $p = 0$ or n one of these sets is empty, and then the join is treated in the usual way: e.g., $A * \emptyset = A$.

It has been known for many years that one inclusion of the Direct Product Formula is always true:

Theorem 3.1. (*Meinert's Inequality*)

$$\Sigma^n(G \times H; R)^c \subseteq \bigcup_{p=0}^n \Sigma^p(G; R)^c * \Sigma^{n-p}(H; R)^c$$

and

$$\Sigma^n(G \times H)^c \subseteq \bigcup_{p=0}^n \Sigma^p(G)^c * \Sigma^{n-p}(H)^c$$

Meinert did not publish this, but a proof can be found in [16, Section 9]. The paper [1] also contains a proof of the homotopy version.

It is proved in [5] that the Direct Product Formula holds when R is a field. On the other hand, an example in [29] shows that the Formula does not always hold when $R = \mathbb{Z}$. However, it is shown in [5] that when $\Sigma^n(G; \mathbb{Z}) = \Sigma^n(G; \mathbb{Q})$ for all n then the Direct Product Formula does hold when $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Writing F^r for the r -fold direct product of copies of F , one concludes (by induction on r) that the Formula holds for F^r when $R = \mathbb{Z}$. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $r \geq 2$. Then for all n*

$$\Sigma^n(F^r; \mathbb{Z})^c = \bigcup_{p=0}^n \Sigma^p(F; \mathbb{Z})^c * \Sigma^{n-p}(F^{r-1}; \mathbb{Z})^c$$

and $\Sigma^n(F^r) = \Sigma^n(F^r; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Only the last sentence requires some explanation. It follows from Meinert's Inequality (Theorem 3.1) together with the fact that for any group G we have $\Sigma^m(G) \subseteq \Sigma^m(G; R)$. \square

Theorem A implies that $\Sigma^m(F)^c$ is a (spherical) 1-simplex if $m \geq 2$, is the 0-skeleton of that 1-simplex when $m = 1$, and is empty (i.e., the (-1)-skeleton of the 1-simplex) when $m = 0$. And that 1-simplex has the property that it is disjoint from its negative. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\Sigma^m(F^r)^c$ is the $(m-1)$ -skeleton of a spherical $(2r-1)$ -simplex in the $(2r-1)$ -sphere $S(F^r)$, a simplex which is disjoint from its negative.

We now prove Theorem B. Consider $[\chi]$ in $S(F^r)$ which lies in the $(m-1)$ -skeleton but not in the $(m-2)$ -skeleton of the $(2r-1)$ -simplex. Since the discrete characters are dense we can always choose χ discrete. Then $[\chi]$ lies in $\Sigma^m(F^r)^c \cap \Sigma^{m-1}(F^r)$ while $[-\chi]$ lies in $\Sigma^m(F^r)$. Thus, by Corollary 1.2, the kernel of χ has type F_{m-1} but not type $FP_m(\mathbb{Z})$ when $m < 2r-1$. Now, F contains copies of F^r for all r ; for example, let $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{r-1} < 1$ be a subdivision of $[0, 1]$ into r segments where the subdivision points are dyadic rationals. The subgroup of F which fixes all the points t_i is a copy of F^r . Thus Theorem B is proved.

Example: Here is an explicitly described subgroup $G_r \leq F$ which has type F_{2r-1} but does not type $FP_{2r}(\mathbb{Z})$. Fix a dyadic subdivision of $[0, 1]$ into r subintervals as above. Let G_r denote the subgroup of F consisting of all elements x for which the product of the numbers in the following set D_r equals 1. The members of D_r are: the left and right derivatives of x at the $(r-1)$ subdivision points t_i , the right derivative of x at 0, and the left derivative of x at 1. This subgroup of F (we consider F^r embedded in F as above) corresponds to the barycenter of the $(2r-1)$ -simplex, and thus has the claimed properties.

Remark 3.3. This example is “structurally stable” in the following sense: The interior of the $(2r-1)$ -simplex is open in the sphere $S(F^r)$. Thus all the points in that open set which correspond to discrete characters on F^r (they are dense) give rise to groups \tilde{G}_r with exactly the finiteness properties possessed by G_r . These groups \tilde{G}_r should be thought of as all the normal subgroups of F^r “near” G_r which have infinite cyclic quotients.

REFERENCES

1. R. Bieri, *Finiteness length and connectivity length for groups*. *Geometric group theory down under (Canberra, 1996)*, 9–22, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.
2. R. Bieri, R. Geoghegan, *Connectivity properties of group actions on non-positively curved spaces*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 161 (2003), no. 765
3. R. Bieri, R. Geoghegan, *Topological properties of SL_2 actions on the hyperbolic plane*, *Geom. Dedicata* 99 (2003), 137–166
4. R. Bieri, R. Geoghegan, (paper in preparation)
5. R. Bieri, R. Geoghegan, *Sigma invariants of direct products of groups* Preprint, Frankfurt and Binghamton.
6. R. Bieri, W. D. Neumann, R. Strebel, *A geometric invariant of discrete groups*, *Invent. Math.* 90 (1987), no. 3, 451–477
7. R. Bieri, B. Renz, *Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 63 (1988), no. 3, 464–497
8. R. Bieri, R. Strebel, *Almost finitely presented soluble groups*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 53 (1978), no. 2, 258–278
9. M. G. Brin, *The chameleon groups of Richard J. Thompson: automorphisms and dynamics*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 84 (1996), 5–33 (1997)
10. M. G. Brin, C. C. Squier, *Groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of the real line*, *Invent. Math.* 79 (1985), no. 3, 485–498
11. K. S. Brown, *Finiteness properties of groups*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 44 (1987), no. 1–3, 45–75

12. K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 87. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. x+3 06 pp.
13. K. S. Brown, R. Geoghegan, *An infinite-dimensional torsion-free FP_∞ group*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), no. 2, 367–381
14. K. S. Brown, R. Geoghegan, *Cohomology with free coefficients of the fundamental group of a graph of groups*. Comment. Math. Helv. 60 (1985), no. 1, 31–45.
15. J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, W. R. Parry, *Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups*, Enseign. Math. (2) 42 (1996), no. 3-4, 215–256
16. R. Gehrke, *The higher geometric invariants for groups with sufficient commutativity*, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), no. 4, 1097
17. R. Geoghegan, *Topological Methods in Group Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 243. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 2008. xiv+473 pp.
18. V. S. Guba, *The Dehn function of Richard Thompson's group F is quadratic*, Invent. Math. 163 (2006), 313–342.
19. D. H. Kochloukova, *Subgroups of constructible nilpotent-by-abelian groups and a generalization of a result of Bieri, Neumann and Strebel*, J. Group Theory 5 (2002), no. 2, 219–231
20. D. H. Kochloukova, *The Σ^2 -conjecture for metabelian groups and some new conjectures: the split extension case*, J. Algebra 222 (1999), no. 2, 357–375
21. D. H. Kochloukova, *The Σ^m -conjecture for a class of metabelian groups*, Groups St. Andrews 1997 in Bath, II, 492–502, LMS Lecture Note Ser., 261, CUP, 1999
22. R. McKenzie, R. J. Thompson, *An elementary construction of unsolvable word problems in group theory*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Math., 71, pp. 457–478, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973
23. J. Meier, H. Meinert, L. VanWyk, *Higher generation subgroup sets and the Σ -invariants of graph groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 73 (1998), no. 1, 22–44
24. H. Meinert, *The homological invariants for metabelian groups of finite Prufer rank: a proof of the Σ^m -conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 72 (1996), no. 2, 385–424
25. H. Meinert, *Actions on 2-complexes and the homotopical invariant Σ^2 of a group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 119 (1997), no. 3, 297–317
26. H. Rehn, Dissertation, Universität Frankfurt a.M., 2008
27. B. Renz, *Geometrische Invarianten und Endlichkeitseigenschaften von Gruppen*, Dissertation, Universität Frankfurt a.M., 1988
28. B. Renz, *Geometric invariants and HNN-extensions*. Group theory (Singapore, 1987), 465–484, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989.
29. D. Schütz, *On the direct product conjecture for sigma invariants*, to appear in Bull. London Math. Soc.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHANN WOLFGANG GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT, D-60054 FRANKFURT AM MAIN, GERMANY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY (SUNY), BINGHAMTON, NY 13902-6000, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS, Cx. P. 6065, 13083-970 CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `bieri@math.uni-frankfurt.de`, `ross@math.binghamton.edu`, `desi@ime.unicamp.br`